

## **LOCLAB EXECUTIVE SUMMARY – STUPINO CONFERENCE**

### **The teams in the Oka-Centre – October 2006**

all teams present with the exception of the Georgian team –  
hindered by the Russian- Georgian dispute



**The following points outline in short form the most important conclusions for our further work:**

### **1 State of the art**

#### **Quantitative Analysis**

- The five teams conducted the planned quantitative surveys in the five case studies.**
- The data files have been sent to the Belarus coordinator.**
- The joint data file merging the case-specific files is installed and available.**

#### **Qualitative Analysis**

- The Bulgarian, Polish and Russian team conducted the qualitative investigations according to the case specific needs.**

- The Belarus and the Ukrainian team will complete the qualitative parts; the best procedure is to take the qualitative procedure of Siemiatycze (Polish team) as a guideline. It would be excellent to have a similar presentation of Siemiatycze, Skidel and Chop (the three border- and rural cases).
- The secondary analyses should be included into the qualitative analyses (important data as references and descriptive background).

## 2 Analysis and procedure

We propose a two level strategy for the further analysis:

### A) Joint comparative analysis of quantitative data file

- ➔ The joint and merged data file will be analysed according to the concept of the project and the questionnaire.
- ➔ An outline of the most relevant results of the comparative analysis is sent to all teams containing first interpretations and questions to the teams.

*→ This work is done by the task force “comparisons and synthesis”: the Swiss, Belarus and Polish team.*

- ➔ Each team contributes to the comparative analyses and interpretations on the basis of their case studies, analyses of quantitative as well as qualitative data.

### B) Analysis of data and materials of the case studies

- ➔ Each team starts with the analyses and interpretations of the results of the quantitative and qualitative data: a joint guideline for the presentations of the results will be sent to each team at the end of October by the task force “comparisons”.

## 3 Publication and networking

- ➔ The coordinators propose the plan for a joint publication of an international book after the first feedbacks of steps A and B.
- ➔ Each team should carefully plan diffusion and publications strategies according to the country-specific facilities.
- ➔ The diffusion of results should involve local, national or international stakeholders (like in Stupino). Each team is active!
- ➔ One of our final chapter will be “what can/should actors, community leaders or companies do in the different contexts?”.
- ➔ The five case studies are inputs into the GOOD\_ELEPHANT project and will be communicated to its network.

**Next meeting:** In contact with the Georgian team we plan the Tbilissi meeting; an additional sponsoring of the meeting is planned (mainly the flights and hotel costs).

**Zurich, 13<sup>th</sup> of October 2006**  
**Coordinators**

**Hans-Peter Meier-Dallach**  
**Walter**

**Therese**